#486 Effectiveness of non-pharmacological conservative therapies for chronic pelvic pain in women: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Starzec-Proserpio M^{1,2}, Frawley H², Bø K³, Morin M¹

- 1. Université de Sherbrooke (Canada) 2. Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education (Poland)
- 3. The University of Melbourne (Australia) 4. Norwegian School of Sport Sciences (Norway)



To assess the effectiveness of different non-pharmacological, conservative therapies for women experiencing chronic pelvic pain (CPP).

Study design



Systematic review and meta-analysis

Quality & certainty assessment



The Physiotherapy Evidence Database scale (PEDro)

Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE)

Search



Amed, APA PsycInfo, CINAHL, Cochrane, Embase, Medline, PubMED, SPORTDiscuss

Population



<u>Inclusion</u>

Women with CPP,
 without a defined pathology or known disease

Exclusion

- Pelvic girdle pain
 - Endometriosis
- Genitourinary syndrome of menopause
- Irritable bowel syndrome
 - Hunner-type IC

Comparator



Inert treatments

e.g., placebo, waitlist, treatment as usual

Non-conservative treatments e.g., pharmacological, surgical



2168 women

38 RCTs



68% of RCTs

moderate-to-high quality (PEDro score 6/10 or more)

MULTIMODAL PHYSIOTHERAPY, 7 RCTs

Combined physical interventions (e.g., pelvic floor muscle exercises, manual therapy, self-management strategies) with psychologically-informed interventions (e.g., pain education, graded exposure and other CBT-based approaches, acceptance and coping skills

PREDOMINANTLY PSYCHOLOGICAL APPROACHES, 9 RCTs

e.g., CBT, mindfulness

ACUPUNCTURE, 5 RCTs

e.g., traditional acupuncture, electro-acupuncture

TISSUE-BASED MONOTHERAPIES, 18 RCTs

i.e., predominantly biomedically focused, tissue-based unimodal treatments such as electrophysical agents, manual stretching (meta-analysis not possible)

Meta-analysis for pain intensity outcomes mean difference [95% CI] on 0-10 pain scale (lower favours conservative therapy) -3 -1 0 1 3

GRADE

Multimodal Physiotherapy 7 RCTs | MD -2.87 [-4.32, -1.45]

High

Psychological Approaches 8 RCTs | MD -0.31 [-0.95, 0.34]

⊘⊘⊘ Moderate

Acupuncture 5 RCTs | MD 1.83 [-2.35, 6.02]

No statement possible

Multimodal physiotherapy was the only intervention that provided statistically and clinically meaningful results with high certainty of evidence.

Conclusion



Multimodal PT is effective in women with CPP with a high certainty of evidence.

This approach should be offered as a first-line, non-pharmacological treatment and endoresed by clinical practice guidelines.



CIHR IRSC
Canadian Institutes of Health Research Instituts de recherce en santé du Canada
MFE-194010

Access the full publication here!

Fonds de recherche Santé
Québec