HOW LONG CAN ANTIMUSCARINIC TREATMENT BE EFFECTIVE IN TREATMENT OF OVERACTIVE BLADDER – ANALYSIS OF THE PREDICTIVE FACTORS

Sheng-Mou Hsiao¹, Chun-Hou Liao², Hann-Chorng Kuo^{3*}

- 1. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Far Eastern Memorial Hospital, New Taipei, Taiwan,
- 2. Department of Urology, Cardinal Tien Hospital and School of Medicine, Fu-Jen Catholic University, New Taipei, Taiwan,
- 3. Department of Urology, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital and Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

Hypothesis / aims of study

To estimate the time interval required and predictors for antimuscarinic treatment to be effective.

Study design, materials and methods

All OAB patients received either solifenacin 5 mg or tolterodine ER 4 mg once a day were enrolled prospectively in this study. Patients were asked to be followed up in our clinics at the interval of 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 3 months and 6 months during the treatment period. Patients who had been treated with antimuscarinics and followed up at at least one post treatment visit were eligible for analysis. A decrease of at least 3 in OABSS scores from baseline was defined as responsiveness to antimuscarinic treatment.

Results

A total of 117 patients enrolled in this study. Baseline data was tabulated in Table 1. The median treatment interval was 1 month (25-75 percentile range: 0.5-3 months). Sixty-one (52.1%; 95% CI = 43.0 to 61.3%) patients became responsiveness to antimuscarinic treatment during the treatment period. The for median interval occurrence responsiveness was 3 months (95% confidence interval: 1 to 6 months, Fig. 1). Multivariate Cox proportional-hazards model revealed only higher OABSS scores was an independent predictor for responsiveness.

Interpretation of results

We successful identify that 3 months was the median interval of responsiveness for antimuscarinic treatment. Thus, we can treat OAB patients for at least 3 months to achieve responsiveness. If responsiveness cannot achieve after 3 months' antimuscarinic treatment, it is reasonable to choose alternative treatment. However, it may take longer time to achieve responsiveness in patients with lower OABSS

Concluding message

The median interval for the occurrence of responsiveness was 3 months, and OABSS was the predictor for effectiveness of antimuscarinic treatment.

Table 1. Cox proportional-hazards model for predicting responsiveness of antimuscarinic treatment (n=117)

| Variables | Baseline | Univariate | | Multivariate | |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|
| | | Hazard ratio | Р | Hazard ratio | Р |
| Age (years) | 70.7±13.2 | 0.99 (0.98~1.01) | 0.53 | - | - |
| Male | 75 (64) | 0.88 (0.52~1.48) | 0.62 | - | - |
| OAB-wet | 94 (80) | 5.51 (1.72~17.6) | 0.004** | 0.33 (0.04~2.62) | 0.30 |
| Treatment | | | | | |
| Solifenacin | 100 (85) | 1.18 (0.56~2.50) | 0.66 | - | - |
| Tolterodine | 17 (15) | | | | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 19 (16) | 1.18 (0.62~2.28) | 0.61 | - | - |
| Hypertension | 29 (25) | 0.92 (0.50~1.67) | 0.78 | - | - |
| Heart failure | 4 (3) | 0.00 (0~-) | 1.00 | - | - |
| CRF | 7 (6) | 0.84 (0.26~2.69) | 0.77 | - | - |
| Stroke | 10 (9) | 0.80 (0.32~2.02) | 0.64 | - | - |
| Parkisonism | 5 (4) | 1.24 (0.39~3.96) | 0.72 | - | - |
| BPH | 63 (84) | 0.88 (0.39~2.01) | 0.76 | - | - |
| BOO | 2 (2) | 0.87 (0.12~6.27) | 0.89 | - | - |
| PPBC | 3.7±1.7 | 1.27 (1.06~1.51) | 0.008** | 1.00 (0.80~1.26) | 0.98 |
| OABSS | 8.6±3.7 | 1.19 (1.11~1.29) | <0.001*** | 1.19 (1.04~1.35) | 0.009** |
| USS | 3.4±1.3 | 2.47 (1.33~4.59) | 0.004** | 2.57 (0.88~7.50) | 0.08 |
| IPSS-V | 5.9±5.6 | 0.99 (0.95~1.04) | 0.80 | - | - |
| IPSS-S | 7.8±3.5 | 1.07 (1.00~1.15) | 0.06 | 0.93 (0.85~1.02) | 0.12 |
| TPV (mL) | 41.6±18.3 | 0.99 (0.97~1.01) | 0.46 | - | - |
| TZI (%) | 32.5±13.2 | 2.42 (0.12~49.97) | 0.57 | - | - |
| Qmax (mL/s) | 13.4±8.0 | 1.00 (0.96~1.03) | 0.90 | - | - |
| VV (mL) | 172±102 | 1.00 (0.997~1.002) | 0.64 | - | - |
| PVR (mL) | 46.5±58.7 | 1.00 (0.996~1.004) | 0.95 | - | - |

†Values were expressed as n (percentage), mean±standard deviation, hazard ratio (95% confidence interval). ‡BOO: bladder outlet obstruction; BPH: benign prostate hyperplasia; CRF: chronic renal failure; IPSS: international prostate symptom score; IPSS-S: IPSS storage subscore; IPSS-V: IPSS voiding subscore; OAB: overactive bladder; OABSS: Overactive Bladder Symptom Score; PPBC: patient perception of bladder condition; PVR: postvoidal residual; Qmax: maximum flow rate; TPV: total prostate volume; TZI: transition zone index; USS: Indevus Urgency Severity Score; VV: voided volume.

Fig. 1. Non-responsiveness probability by time.

